

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,766	12/20/2001	Tony Piotrowski	US010629	4307
24737 7	590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/024,766	PIOTROWSKI, TONY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Calvin L. Hewitt II	3621	

D. C.	10/024,700	FIGIROWSKI, TON	4.1
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Calvin L. Hewitt II	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) .		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•		the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: Newly added language directed to a merce customer requires further search and/or consideral added to claim 1, for example, is "intended use" ("performing Applicant's "permitting" and "confirming 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	tion. However, the Examiner would permitting…"). Therefore, a prior a	d like to point out that rt "merchant tag reade	the language er" capable of
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)): <u> </u>		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or by wide below or appended	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	SUPERVISORY PATCHET STA	MINER	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	